

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/686,813	10/12/2000	Yoshichika Komatsu	58647-029	5340
7:	590 02/24/2003			
Kenneth L Cage			EXAMINER	
McDermott Will & Emery			NGHIEM, MICHAEL P	
600 13th Street NW				
Washington, D	C 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	
		DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
09/686,813		KOMATSU ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
Michael P Nghiem	*	2863	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears n the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCF) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114

inal rejection under 37 CTX timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely most recondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely most recondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely most recondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely most recondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (4) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appe	
condition for allowance; (2) a timely flict Motors. Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final final form of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final f	the engrapsiate extension
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 GFR 1.136(a). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee have been filed in the fee have been fi	e fee. The appropriate extension set in the final Office action; or of the final rejection, even if
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set and a set of the appeal and a s	ppeal.
2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be chieffed between 2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be chieffed between 2. ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NC (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further below).) IE below),
 (a) ☑ they raise new issue of new matter (see Note below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); 	turing or cimplifying the
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appearing	educing or simplifying the
issues for appeal; and/or	rejected claims.
issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally r	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	te timely filed amendment
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following topological and the submitted in a separate canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	hut does NOT place the
5 ⋈ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ⋈ request for reconsideration has been determined by the approximation of the approximatio	ues which were newly
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed occurrence.	ill be entered and an
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will not be entere	appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 7-17</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: is a) approved or b) disapprove 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) Paper No(s)	ed by the Examiner.
approved the second of the sec	
8 The proposed drawing correction field on	<u> </u>
10. Other:	
MICHAEL NGHIEM MICHAEL SAMINER	
MICHAEL NGHIEM RIMARY EXAMINER	17
1 3 p	Part of Paper No. 17

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amendments to class 1, "a weight sensor ... connected to said CPU and "said CPU estimates the body composition ... based upon the personal body information and the weight measured prior to entering the personal body information" raise new issues of definition over the prior art of record.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The term "immediately" is not adequately defined in the disclosure. Thus, even if, in the APA, the event of determination of no-load output is made after entry of personal body information, it may be construed to occur immediately after power up (Fig. 5) as recited in claim 7.